

## United States - Atent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIS NER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DC 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10.002,317	10/25/2001	Thomas K. Wood	9842-273-999	2979	
24341 7	590 03 25 2003				
Pennie & Edmonds, LLP 3300 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94304			EXAMINER MARX, IRENE		
			1651		
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,									
		Application No	э.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/002,317	10/002,317 WOOD ET AL.						
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Irene Marx		1651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U S C § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b).  Status									
1) Responsive	e to communication(s) filed o	on							
2a) This action	is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)		-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the ab	oove claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from conside	eration.						
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
	Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- e Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT					

Art Unit: 1651

## Election/Restrictions

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6, drawn to a metal with a protective biofilm comprising any
  microorganisms, wherein the metal is not steel, copper or aluminum, classified in
  class 106, subclass 14.05, for example.
- II. Claim 7-14, drawn to a process for reducing metal corrosion with a protective biofilm comprising any microorganisms, wherein the metal is not steel, copper or aluminum, classified in class 435, subclass 262.5, for example.
- III. Claims 15-23, drawn to any metal having a protective biofilm of a bacterium that secretes a polyanionic chemical composition, classified in class 106, subclass 15.05, for example.
- IV. Claims 24-34, drawn to a process for reducing metal corrosion on any metal using a protective biofilm of a bacterium that secretes a polyanionic chemical composition, classified in class 424, subclass 115, for example.

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as by growing animals which secrete a bioadhesive on a metal surface, including mussels.

Inventions IV and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the

Application/Control Number: 10/002,317

Art Unit: 1651

product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as with a protective biofilm comprising fungi that produce polyanionic chemical compounds.

Each of groups I and III is directed to separate and distinct inventions, Group I is directed to metals excluding steel, copper and aluminum protected by any microorganism and Group III is directed to any metal including steel, copper, and aluminum protected by a bacterium that secretes certain polyanionic chemical compositions. The products of groups I and III would be expected to have distinct morphological, functional and physiological properties as evidenced by divergent process of making and process of using. These products are not required one for the other.

Each of groups II and IV is directed to separate and distinct inventions. The method of Group II is directed to applying a biofilm comprising any microorganism on a metal surface excluding steel, copper, and aluminum, and group IV is directed to applying a biofilm of a bacterium that secretes a polyanionic chemical composition on a metal surface that includes steel, copper, and aluminum. These methods are distinct both physically and functionally, require different components and process steps and produce different products.

The several inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches (as indicated by the different classification). The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of Group I would not necessarily anticipate or make obvious the any of the other groups.

For these reasons restriction for examination purposes is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Application/Control Number: 10/002,317 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is (703) 308-2922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is before final (703) 872-9306 and after final, (703) 872-9307.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 308-0198 or the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Irene Marx Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1651